

Application No. 10/656,936  
Paper Dated February 21, 2006  
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 6, 2006  
Attorney Docket No. 1381-031188

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the claims have been restricted between the following allegedly distinct inventions:

Invention I: Claims 1-9, drawn to a clip;  
Invention II: Claims 10-14, drawn to a method of making a clip; and  
Invention III: Claims 15-20, drawn to a roof deck.

Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, to prosecute the invention of Invention I, which includes claims 1-9. Applicant makes this election without prejudice to the later filing of a divisional application directed to the non-elected inventions.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By 

Paul M. Reznick  
Registration No. 33,059  
Attorney for Applicant  
700 Koppers Building  
436 Seventh Avenue  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-1845  
Telephone: 412-471-8815  
Facsimile: 412-471-4094  
E-mail: [webblaw@webblaw.com](mailto:webblaw@webblaw.com)